Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

Questions about MPMP results should be addressed to:

Name: Christine Walker	Phone: 519-364-2780 ext 225
Title: Director of Corporate Services/Treasurer	
Municipality: Town of Hanover	
Email: cwalker@hanover.ca	

Local Government

CONTACT PERSON FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT: Christine Walker - Director of Corporate Services/Treasurer

1.1 G	ENERAL GOV	/ERNMENT - I	EFFICIENCY	
	2012	2011	2010	2009
Operating costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal operating costs.	9.3%	7.7%	7.5%	9.5%
1.1 b) Total costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal costs.	8.5%	6.3%	6.6%	

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient local government.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 0206 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 0206 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

Fire Services

CONTACT PERSON FOR FIRE SERVICES: Ken Roseborough, Fire Chief

	2.1 FIRE SER	VICES – EFFI	CIENCY		
	2012	2011	2010	2009	
2.1 a) Operating costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.	\$0.47	\$0.49	\$0.54	\$0.50	
2.1 b) Total costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.	\$0.64	\$0.80	\$0.64		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient fire services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 1103 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 1103 45 (Total costs measure).

2.2 & 2.3 CIVILI	AN FIRE REL	ATED INJURI	ES – EFFECT	IVENESS
	2012	2011	2010	2009
2.2 Number of residential fire related civilian injuries per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2.3 Number of residential fire related civilian injuries averaged over 5 years per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

OBJECTIVE:

Minimize the number of civilian injuries in residential fires.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 1151 07 (2.2) and 92 1152 07 (2.3).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2012 RESULTS

2.4 & 2.5 CIVILIAN FIRE RELATED FATALITIES - EFFECTIVENESS						
	2012	2011	2010	2009		
2.4 Number of residential fire related civilian fatalities per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
2.5 Number of residential fire related civilian fatalities averaged over 5 years per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
OBJECTIVE: Minimize the number of civilian fatalities	es in residential fir	res				

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 1155 07 (2.4) and 92 1156 07 (2.5).

	2.6 NUMBER OF RI	ESIDENTIAL S	TRUCTURAL	FIRES – EFF	ECTIVENESS		
	2012 2011 2010 2009						
2.6	Number of residential structural fires per 1,000 households.	0.587	0.000	0.000	0.594		
	OBJECTIVE: Minimize the number of residential stru	ıctural fires.					
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	ERSTANDING R	ESULTS:				
1	REFERENCE:	_		<u> </u>	_	_	

• Financial Information Return: 92 1160 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

Police Services

CONTACT PERSON FOR POLICE SERVICES: Tracy David, Chief of Police

3.	1 POL	ICE SE	RVIC	ES – EFF	FICIEN	ICY		
		2012		2011		2010	2009	
3.1 a) Operating costs for police services per person. ¹	\$	452.61	\$	335.99	\$	317.17	\$ 298.35	
3.1 b) Total costs for police services per person.	\$	460.03	\$	342.97	\$	324.52		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient police services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- ¹ As of 2009, the efficiency measures for police services do not include expenses for prisoner transportation or court security since expenses for these services are being uploaded to the province over a number of years. The efficiency measures for police services align with effectiveness measures based on crime rates.
- Financial Information Return: 91 1204 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 1204 45 (Total costs measure).

3.2 VI	OLENT CRIME	RATE – EFF	ECTIVENESS		
	2012	2011	2010	2009	
3.2 Violent crime rate per 1,000 persons. ¹	13.9	17.9	15.0	11.5	

OBJECTIVE:

Safe communities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- ¹ Statistics Canada has expanded the definition of violent crime. Therefore, prior years are not comparable unless restated.
- Financial Information Return: 92 1258 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

3.3 PRC	1 340 418 533 550				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	
3.3 Property crime rate per 1,000 persons. ¹	34.0	41.8	53.3	55.0	

OBJECTIVE:

Safe communities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- ¹ Statistics Canada has expanded the definition of property crime. Therefore, prior years are not comparable unless restated.
- Financial Information Return: 92 1259 07.

3.4 T	OTAL CRIME	RATE – EFFE	CTIVENESS		
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
3.4 Total crime rate per 1,000 persons (<i>Criminal Code</i> offences, excluding traffic).	59.0	70.1	81.2	78.8	84.7

OBJECTIVE:

Safe communities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 1263 07.

	3.5 Y	OUTH CRIME	RATE – EFFE	CTIVENESS		
		2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
3.5	Youth crime rate per 1,000 youths.	72.7	96.0	42.5	43.4	101.7
	OBJECTIVE: Safe communities.					
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	ERSTANDING R	RESULTS:			

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 1265 07.

TOWN OF HANOVER Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2012 RESULTS

Building Permits & Inspection Services

CONTACT PERSON FOR BUILDING PERMITS & INSPECTION SERVICES: Don Tedford - Director of Development/CBO

4.1 BUILDING P	ERMI [°]	TS & INS	SPE	CTION SE	RVICES – E	EFFICIENC	Υ	
		2012		2011				
Operating costs for building permits and inspection services per \$1,000 of construction activity (based on permits issued).	\$	47.77	\$	16.68				
Total costs for building permits and inspection services per \$1,000 of construction activity (based on permits issued).	\$	47.77	\$	16.68				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient building permits and inspection services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- MPMP measures for building permits and inspection services were introduced in 2011.
- Financial Information Return: 91 1301 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 1301 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

Roads

CONTACT PERSON FOR ROADS: Ron Cooper - Director of Public Works

	5.1	PAVED R	OAI	DS – EFFIC	ΊE	NCY	
		2012		2011		2010	
5.1 a) Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre. 1	\$	3,623.13	\$	3,450.27	\$	12,098.11	
5.1 b) Total costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$	9,769.18	\$	7,353.16	\$	18,214.00	

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient maintenance of paved roads.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- ¹ The formulas for efficiency measures for paved roads were revised in 2010 to net out revenue received from utilities for utility cut repairs.
- The total cost measure was also revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 2111 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2111 45 (Total costs measure).

5.4 WINTER MAINTENANCE OF ROADS – EFFICIENCY									
	2012	2011	2010	2009					
5.4 a) Operating costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.	\$ 968.17	\$ 1,656.95	\$ 1,665.74	\$ 2,328.18					
5.4 b) Total costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.	\$ 968.17	\$ 1,815.21	\$ 1,665.71						

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient winter maintenance of roads.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 2205 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2205 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

5.5 ADEQU	JACY OF PAV	ED ROADS -	ADS – EFFECTIVENESS 2011 2010 2009 2008					
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008			
5.5 Percentage of paved lane kilometres where the condition is rated as good to very good. ¹	53%	52%	52%	52%	61%			

OBJECTIVE:

Pavement condition meets municipal objectives.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- ¹ Pavement condition is rated using a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) such as the Index used by the Ontario Good Roads Association (OGRA) or the Ministry of Transportation's Roads Inventory Management System (RIMS).
- Financial Information Return: 92 2152 07.

	5.7 WINTE	ER EVENT RE	SPONSES – E	EFFECTIVENE	SS	
		2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
the dete	centage of winter events where response met or exceeded locally ermined municipal service levels road maintenance.	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%

OBJECTIVE:

Response to winter storm events meets locally determined service levels for winter road maintenance.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 2251 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2012 RESULTS

Wastewater (Sewage)

CONTACT PERSON FOR WASTEWATER: Ron Cooper - Director of Public Works

	7.1 WASTEWATER COLLECTION/CONVEYANCE – EFFICIENCY											
			2012		2011		2010		2009			
7.1 a)	Operating costs for the collection/conveyance of wastewater per kilometre of wastewater main.	\$	4,378.73	\$	4,552.36	\$	3,846.20	\$	4,434.59			
7.1 b)	Total costs for the collection/conveyance of wastewater per kilometre of wastewater main.	\$	5,100.77	\$	6,633.20	\$	3,846.20					

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal wastewater collection/conveyance.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3111 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3111 45 (Total costs measure).

7.2 WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL – EFFICIENCY									
	:	2012	2011		2010		2009		
7.2 a) Operating costs for the treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre.	\$ 469	9.66 \$	\$ 431.70	\$	399.93	\$	335.19		
7.2 b) Total costs for the treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre.	\$ 690	0.29 \$	536.82	\$	714.63				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal wastewater treatment and disposal.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3112 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3112 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

7.3 WASTEWATER INTEGRATED SYSTEM – EFFICIENCY										
		2012		2011		2010		2009		
7.3 a) Operating costs for the collection/conveyance, treatment, and disposal of wastewater per megalitre (integrated system).		620.09	\$	562.68	\$	508.46	\$	440.17		
7.3 b) Total costs for the collection/conveyance, treatment, and disposal of wastewater per megalitre (integrated system).		865.53	\$	727.67	\$	823.15				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal wastewater system (integrated system).

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3113 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3113 45 (Total costs measure).

7.4 WASTE	WATER MAIN	I BACKUPS –	EFFECTIVEN	IESS	
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
7.4 Number of wastewater main backups per 100 kilometres of wastewater main in a year.	20.45	11.40	9.09	9.76	16.27

OBJECTIVE:

Municipal sewage management practices prevent environmental and human health hazards.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 3154 07.

7.5 WASTEWA	TER BYPASSI	ES TREATME	NT – EFFECTI	VENESS	
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
7.5 Percentage of wastewater estimated to have by-passed treatment.	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%

OBJECTIVE:

Municipal sewage management practices prevent environmental and human health hazards.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 3155 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

Storm Water

CONTACT PERSON FOR STORM WATER: Ron Cooper - Director of Public Works

8.1 URBAN STORM WATER MANAGEMENT – EFFICIENCY									
	20	12		2011		2010		2009	
8.1 a) Operating costs for urban storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.	\$ 154.8	6	\$	236.64	\$	286.17	\$	122.60	
8.1 b) Total costs for urban storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.	\$ 154.8	66	\$	977.02	\$	286.17			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient urban storm water management.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3209 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3209 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2012 RESULTS

Drinking Water

CONTACT PERSON FOR DRINKING WATER: Ron Cooper - Director of Public Works

9.1 DRINKING WATER TREATMENT – EFFICIENCY											
			2012		2011	2010)	2009			
9.1 a)	Operating costs for the treatment of drinking water per megalitre.	\$	418.22	\$	385.33	\$ 273.92	\$	277.74			
9.1 b)	Total costs for the treatment of drinking water per megalitre.	\$	509.43	\$	805.05	\$ 356.51					

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal water treatment services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3311 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3311 45 (Total costs measure).

9.2 DRINKING WATER DISTRIBUTION/TRANSMISSION – EFFICIENCY										
			2012		2011		2010		2009	
9.2 a)	Operating costs for the distribution/ transmission of drinking water per kilometre of water distribution pipe.	\$	8,960.27	\$	7,494.77	\$	6,330.31	\$	7,036.17	
9.2 b)	Total costs for the distribution/ transmission of drinking water per kilometre of water distribution pipe.	\$	11,241.87	\$	10,669.81	\$	6,330.31			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal water distribution/transmission services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3312 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3312 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

	9.3 DRINKING WATER INTEGRATED SYSTEM – EFFICIENCY									
			2012		2011		2010		2009	
	Operating costs for the treatment and distribution/transmission of drinking water per megalitre (integrated system).	\$	792.70	\$	694.81	\$	448.76	\$	516.54	
9.3 b)	Total costs for the treatment and distribution/transmission of drinking water per megalitre (integrated system).	\$	979.26	\$	1,245.64	\$	571.36			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal water system (integrated system).

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3313 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3313 45 (Total costs measure).

9.4 BOIL WATER ADVISORIES – EFFECTIVENESS										
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008					
9.4 Weighted number of days when a boil water advisory issued by the medical officer of health, applicable to a municipal water supply, was in effect.	0.0000	0.0000	0.4019	0.0000	0.0000					

OBJECTIVE:

Water is safe and meets local needs.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 3355 07.

9.5 BREAKS IN WATER MAINS – EFFECTIVENESS										
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008					
9.5 Number of water main breaks per 100 kilometres of water distribution pipe in a year.		9.62	9.62	15.38	20.00					

OBJECTIVE:

Improve system reliability.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 3356 07.

TOWN OF HANOVER Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2012 RESULTS

Solid Waste Management (Garbage)

CONTACT PERSON FOR SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT: Ron Cooper - Director of Public Works

10.1 GARBAGE COLLECTION – EFFICIENCY									
		2012		2011	2	010		2009	
10.1 a) Operating costs for garbage collection per household.	\$	21.19	\$	20.45	\$ 21	.52	\$	19.64	
10.1 b) Total costs for garbage collection per household.	\$	21.19	\$	20.45	\$ 21	.52			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal garbage collection services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3404 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3404 45 (Total costs measure).

10.2 GARBAGE DISPOSAL – EFFICIENCY									
		2012		2011	2	2010		2009	
10.2 a) Operating costs for garbage disposal per household.	\$	57.71	\$	80.95	\$ 64	4.84	\$	25.13	
10.2 b) Total costs for garbage disposal per household.	\$	57.87	\$	81.11	\$ 65	5.00			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal garbage disposal services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3504 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3504 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

10.3 SOLID WASTE DIVERSION (RECYCLING) – EFFICIENCY										
		2012		2011	20	10	2	009		
10.3 a) Operating costs for solid waste diversion per household.	\$	37.14	\$	40.24	\$ 40.5	39	\$ 37	.92		
10.3 b) Total costs for solid waste diversion per household.	\$	37.14	\$	40.24	\$ 40.3	39				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient solid waste diversion (recycling) services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3606 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3606 45 (Total costs measure).

10.4 SOLID WASTE N	MANA	GEMEN	T (IN	TEGRAT	ED S	YSTEM)	– EFF	ICIENC'
		2012		2011		2010		2009
10.4 a) Average operating costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per household.	\$	116.04	\$	141.63	\$	126.75	\$	82.69
10.4 b) Average total costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per household.	\$	116.20	\$	141.80	\$	126.92		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient solid waste management (integrated system).

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3607 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3607 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

	10.5 COMPLAINTS – COLLECTION OF GARBAGE AND RECYCLED MATERIALS EFFECTIVENESS										
		2012	2011	2010	2009	2008					
year c garbaq	er of complaints received in a oncerning the collection of ge and recycled materials per households.	3.4	11.5	7.4	7.7	8.1					
OBJE	CTIVE:		•								

Improved collection of garbage and recycled materials.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 3452 07.

10.6 NUMBER OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES EFFECTIVENESS									
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008				
10.6 Total number of solid waste management facilities owned by the municipality with a Ministry of Environment certificate of approval.	1	1	1	1	1				

OBJECTIVE:

Context for solid waste management facility compliance measure.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 3552 07.

10.7 FACILITY COMPLIANCE – EFFECTIVENESS

10.7 Number of days per year when a Ministry of Environment compliance order for remediation concerning an air or groundwater standard was in effect for a municipally owned solid waste management facility, by facility.

FIR	Facility Name	Days	Days	Days	Days	Days
line #		2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
3553	Hanover/Walkerton Landfill Site	0	0	0	0	0

List facilities in the order they appear in the 2012 Financial Information Return (FIR).

OBJECTIVE:

Municipal solid waste services do not have an adverse impact on environment.

- Facility Name: 92 3553 03 to 92 3562 03 in Financial Information Return.
- Days: 92 3553 07 to 92 3562 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

10.8 DIVERSION OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE EFFECTIVENESS											
2012 2011 2010 2009 200											
10.8	Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling.	49%	47%	48%	35%	n/a					
OBJECTIVE: Municipal solid waste reduction programs divert waste from landfills and/or incinerators.											
Municipal solid waste reduction programs divert waste from landfills and/or incinerators. NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS: REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 3655 07.											

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2012 RESULTS

Parks and Recreation

CONTACT PERSON FOR PARKS AND RECREATION: Sherri Walden - Manager of Parks, Facilities and Culture

11.1 PARKS – EFFICIENCY								
	2	2012		2011		2010		2009
11.1 a) Operating costs for parks per person.	\$ 34	4.42	\$	29.77	\$	30.45	\$	30.10
11.1 b) Total costs for parks per person.	\$ 34	4.42	\$	39.30	\$	30.45		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient operation of parks.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7103 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7103 45 (Total costs measure).

11.2 RECREATION PROGRAMS – EFFICIENCY										
	2	2012	20	11	2010		2009			
11.2 a) Operating costs for recreation programs per person.	\$ 88	3.99	\$ 75.6	65	\$ 127.78	\$	115.65			
11.2 b) Total costs for recreation programs per person.	\$ 88	3.99	\$ 76.2	23	\$ 127.78					

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient operation of recreation programs.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7203 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7203 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2012 RESULTS

11.3 RECREATION FACILITIES – EFFICIENCY									
		2012		2011		2010		2009	
11.3 a) Operating costs for recreation facilities per person.	\$	173.61	\$	170.76	\$	87.82	\$	99.49	
11.3 b) Total costs for recreation facilities per person.	\$	257.44	\$	243.04	\$	144.02			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient operation of recreation facilities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7306 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7306 45 (Total costs measure).

11.4 RECREATION PROGRAMS AND RECREATION FACILITIES (SUBTOTAL) EFFICIENCY								
	201	2	2011		2010		2009	
11.4 a) Operating costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal).	\$ 262.6	0	\$ 246.41	\$	215.59	\$	215.14	
11.4 b) Total costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal).	\$ 346.4	3	\$ 319.28	\$	271.80			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient operation of recreation programs and facilities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7320 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7320 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2012 RESULTS

11.5 TRAILS – EFFECTIVENESS										
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008					
11.5 Total kilometres of trails.	11	11	11	11	11					
11.5 Total kilometres of trails per 1,000 persons.	1.47	1.47	1.54	1.54	1.60					

OBJECTIVE:

Trails provide recreation opportunities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 7152 05 and 92 7152 07.

11.6 OPEN SPACE – EFFECTIVENESS								
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008			
11.6 Hectares of open space (municipally owned).	51	51	51	51	53			
11.6 Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).	6.8	6.8	7.1	7.1	7.4			

OBJECTIVE:

Open space is adequate for population.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 7155 05 and 92 7155 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2012 RESULTS

11.7 PARTICIPANT HOURS FOR RECREATION PROGRAMS EFFECTIVENESS									
		2012	2011	2010	2009	2008			
11.7	Total participant hours for recreation programs per 1,000 persons.	30,942.5	29,228.0	26,201.9	21,668.7	25,953.0			
OBJECTIVE: Recreation programs serve needs of residents.									
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:								

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 7255 07.

	11.8 INDOOR RECREATION FACILITY SPACE – EFFECTIVENESS									
		2012	2011	2010	2009	2008				
11.8	Square metres of indoor recreation facilities (municipally owned).	8,512	8,512	8,512	27,810	23,299				
11.8	Square metres of indoor recreation facilities per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).	1,136.4	1,136.5	1,191.0	3,891.1	3,260.0				

OBJECTIVE:

Indoor recreation facility space is adequate for population.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 7356 05 and 92 7356 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

Libraries

CONTACT PERSON FOR LIBRARIES: Agnes Rivers-Moore, Chief Librarian/CEO

12.1 LIBRARY COSTS PER PERSON – EFFICIENCY									
	201	2	2011		2010		2009		
12.1 a) Operating costs for library services per person.	\$ 72.43	3	\$ 64.21	\$	65.87	\$	63.80		
12.1 b) Total costs for library services per person.	\$ 78.13	3	\$ 69.44	\$	71.36				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient library services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7405 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7405 45 (Total costs measure).

12.2 LIBRARY COSTS PER USE – EFFICIENCY								
	2012	2011	2010	2009				
12.2 a) Operating costs for library services per use. ¹	\$ 2.58	\$ 2.19	\$ 2.37	\$ 2.44				
12.2 b) Total costs for library services per use.	\$ 2.78	\$ 2.37	\$ 2.56					

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient library services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- ¹The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7406 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7406 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2012 RESULTS

12.3 LIBRARY USES – EFFECTIVENESS									
	2012	2011	2010	2009					
12.3 Library uses per person. ¹	28.10	29.26	27.83	26.17					

OBJECTIVE:

Increased use of library services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years.
- Financial Information Return: 92 7460 07.

Line numbers for prior years:

• The FIR reference for the measure, library uses per person, did not change in 2009.

12.4 ELECTRONIC LIBRARY USES – EFFECTIVENESS									
	2012	2011	2010	2009					
12.4 Electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses. ¹	23%	20%	15%	7%					

OBJECTIVE:

Better information on library usage.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- ¹The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years.
- Financial Information Return: 92 7463 07.

12.5 NON - ELECTRONIC LIBRARY USES - EFFECTIVENESS								
	2012	2011	2010	2009				
12.5 Non-electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses. 1	77%	80%	15%	7%				

OBJECTIVE:

Better information on library usage.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- ¹The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years.
- Financial Information Return: 92 7462 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

Land Use Planning

CONTACT PERSON FOR LAND USE PLANNING: Don Tedford - Director of Development/CBO

	13.1 LOCATION OF NEW RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT – EFFECTIVENESS									
		2012	2011	2010	2009	2008				
13.1	Percentage of new residential units located within settlement areas.	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%				
OBJECTIVE: New residential development is occurring within settlement areas.										
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:									
	REFERENCE: ● Financial Information Return: 92 8170 07.									